

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/766,410 01/22/2001 Todd A. Bland 7333 7590 09/03/2003 Todd A. Bland **EXAMINER** 13708 Frederick Avenue HUSAR, STEPHEN F Omaha, NE 68138 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2875

DATE MAILED: 09/03/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			A
		Application No.	Applicant(s)
• Office Action Summary		09/766,410	BLAND, TODD A.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Stephen F. Husar	2875
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply			
I H는 - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state the provided by the Office later than three months after the material part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the material part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the material part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the material part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the material part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the material part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the material part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the material part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the material part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the material part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the material part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the material part of the provided by the Office later than three months are provided by the Office later than three months are provided by the Office later than three months are provided by the Office later than three months are provided by the Office later than three months are provided by the Office later than three months are provided by the Office later than three months are provided by the Office later than three months are provided by the Office later than three months are provided by the Office later than three months are provided by the Office later than three months are provided by the Office later than three months are provided by the Office later than three months are provided by the Office later than three months are pr	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of the field will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO title, cause the application to become a	ireply be timely filed  irty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	23 June 2003	
2a)□		This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. <b>Disposition of Claims</b>			
4)🖂	Claim(s) 2,4,5,7 and 9-13 is/are pending in	the application.	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd		
	Claim(s) 2 and 9-12 is/are allowed.		
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4,5,7 and 13</u> is/are objected to.			
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement	
	on Papers		
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.	
10)🖾 -	The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 February 2002</u> is/a	are: a)⊡ accepted or b)⊠ ob	jected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to		
11) 🔲 -	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□ o	disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner.	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received in A	application No
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International E ee the attached detailed Office action for a limit.	iority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	received in this National Stage
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for dome:		
a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language p	provisional application has b	een received.
Attachment			
2) 🔲 Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/766,410

Art Unit: 2875

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Drawings**

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference sign(s) not mentioned in the description: Element "13" in Figs. 1 and 2 is no longer mentioned in the specification. A proposed drawing correction, corrected drawings, or amendment to the specification to add the reference sign(s) in the description, are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

### Claim Objections

2. Claims 4,5,7 and 13 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claims 4 and 13 are objected to for using a term that has no clear meaning within the context of applicant's disclosure or any dictionary which the examiner could find. That term is "incendescent" which was introduced in amended claim 4. This term could not be found in applicant's disclosure as originally filed and appears to be a typographical error or misspelling of the term, incandescent, which does appear in applicant's disclosure as originally filed. This term further raises a question of proper antecedent basis with respect to claims 5 and 7, which are also objected to. Claims 5 and 7 are objected to in that claim 5 recites an "incandescent bulb" in line 3 and it is unclear whether it is referring to the "incendescent bulb" of claim 4 or is reciting a second bulb, which is not shown in any of the drawings. Claim 7 also recites an "incandescent bulb"

Application/Control Number: 09/766,410 Page 3

Art Unit: 2875

in lines 3-4 but in antecedent form, which is also confusing, as the only bulb recited previously is an "incendescent bulb". Appropriate correction is required.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 2 and 9-12 are allowed.
- 4. Claims 4,5,7, and 13 would be allowable if the above noted informalities were overcome.

## Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to the 35 USC 112 objection are not understood. First, both office actions have 35 USC 112 rejections in them with respect to the claims clearly defining the invention. Secondly, claim 10 was a newly presented claim and not an originally filed claim and as such the 25-month time period is not understood since claim 10 did not exist 25 months ago. Upon reconsideration the rejection of claims 10 and 2-9 is withdrawn because the association of the visual warning means with the rear-end of the walking shoe is positively set forth and the attachment specifics are considered a matter of scope and not omission. Applicant's response that the term, "incendescent", is correctly spelled without proof as to what the term means as in a dictionary definition or some passage in applicant's specification which defines the term is not understood and as such this issue remains outstanding for the reasons noted above.

# Page 4

#### Conclusion

6. This application is in condition for allowance except for the following formal matters:

The objection to the drawings and claims as set forth above.

Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Exparte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire TWO MONTHS from the mailing date of this letter.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen F. Husar whose telephone number is 703-308-1932. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on 703-305-4939. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

> Primary Examiner Art Unit 2875

SFH